

FILED  
IN CLERK'S OFFICE  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

★ JUL 21 2005 ★

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
NAOMI ROSENBERG and HARVEY  
ROSENBERG,

Plaintiffs,

CV 03-6452

-against-

(Wexler, J.)

BEKINS VAN LINES COMPANY,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Defendant.

APPEARANCES:

BARATTA & GOLDSTEIN  
BY: HOWARD J. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
397 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10017

KENNETH M. PIKEN AND ASSOCIATES  
BY: KENNETH M. PIKEN, ESQ.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
333 Jericho Turnpike  
Jericho, New York 11753

WEXLER, District Judge

Jury selection in this matter was held on June 20, 2005. On June 27, 2005, the day before trial was scheduled to commence, Plaintiffs' counsel informed the court and defense counsel that Plaintiffs' expert was unavailable to testify and therefore Plaintiffs could not go forward with trial. In view of the facts that a jury had been selected and was required to be disbanded and

defense counsel was fully prepared to go forward with the trial, the court granted Plaintiffs' request to adjourn the trial, but ordered Plaintiffs to pay \$4,000 in attorneys' fees to defense counsel. That sanction was ordered to be paid prior to the second jury selection, which was set for July 18 or 19, 2005. The court held that in the event that the sanction was not paid, jury selection would not go forward and the case would be dismissed. The court further ordered that Plaintiff make any new expert available for deposition on certain dates and held that Plaintiff must submit a report, signed by the expert, two days before any such deposition.

On July 15, 2005, the Friday before the second scheduled jury selection, Plaintiffs' counsel again informed defense counsel and the court that Plaintiffs could not proceed because he was not able to engage an expert witness. A conference was held on July 19, 2005, during which Plaintiff made an application to dismiss this action without prejudice to commencing a new action when, and if, he could secure an appropriate expert witness. Defendant opposed the application and held that counsel's actions warranted dismissal with prejudice.

Upon consideration of the foregoing facts and oral argument held on July 19, 2005, the court holds that Plaintiffs' request to discontinue this action without prejudice is granted, upon the following conditions. Plaintiff is granted four months in which to commence a new action. Prior to commencing that action, Plaintiffs must pay to defense counsel the previously imposed sanction of \$4,000 and an additional sanction, also payable to defense counsel, of \$15,000. Any new action is to be commenced before this court.

In the event that Plaintiffs take no action within four months of the date of this order, this case will be dismissed with prejudice. In that event, the \$15,000 sanction will not be required to

be paid, but the \$4,000 sanction imposed on June 27, 2005, remains payable to defense counsel.

SO ORDERED

**LEONARD D. WEXLER**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Dated: Central Islip, New York  
July 21, 2005